

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/630,008 Joseph Carpenter 07/29/2003 EXECU.0002P 8536 **EXAMINER** 7590 32856 09/28/2004 WEIDE & MILLER, LTD. BASTIANELLI, JOHN 7251 W. LAKE MEAD BLVD. **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 530** LAS VEGAS, NV 89128 3754

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	<i>X</i>
		10/630,008	-	CARPENTER, JOSEPH	,
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		John Bastia		3754	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the o	cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	5
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. , a reply within the statute period will apply and will statute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be ory minimum of thirty (30) of expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr eation to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. com the mailing date of this communionED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 June 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	closed in accordance with the practice un	nder Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 430 0.0. 210.	
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.				
7)∐ 2\□	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	eguirement.		
لــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to recursive				
	tion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		he Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection	accepted of b)t	objected to by the held in abevance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-1	152.
12)	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
а	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 	uments have bee	n received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have bee	n received in Appl	ication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority docume	ents have been red	ceived in this National Sta	ge
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		
*	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certi	ified copies not rec	ceived.	
Attachme	ent(s)			(==== 4.40)	
1) 🔲 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	048)	4) LInterview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date	
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-sormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	o/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Pa	per No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/9/04</u> .		6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gorman, Jr. US 5,052,721.

Gorman discloses a method of installing a valve between an inlet and an outlet pipe having, providing a valve 40/50 having a housing (valve 40/50 together with retaining housing 3) defining a first portion of a passage through said valve, said valve including an inlet port (seen as far left side of Figs. 1-4) at a first end of said passage through said valve, said inlet port fixed in position relative to said housing, said inlet port leading to said first portion of said passage through said valve, said valve further including an extendable member 2 permanently coupled to said housing, said extendable member having a first end (right side of 3) and a second end (left side of 3), said first end comprising an outlet port (seen as far right side of Figs. 1-4) of said valve at a second end of said passage through said valve and said second end of said extendable member located within said housing and in communication with said first portion of said passage through said valve, said second end of said extendable member movable within said housing, said extendable member defining a second portion of said passage through said valve, said second portion of said passage defined by said extendable member between said outlet port and said first portion of said passage through said valve including a control (knob on

Art Unit: 3754

40, 50) configured to selectively open and close said passage through said valve from said inlet port to said outlet port, connecting said inlet port of said valve to said inlet pipe (left side of Figs. 1-4), sliding by pulling or pushing said first end of said extendable member into a position in which it mates with said outlet pipe (right side of Figs. 1-4), said position of said outlet port of said valve changing relative to said housing, and connecting said outlet port of said valve to said outlet pipe. The apparatus is seen as practiced by the method. The inlet and outlet ports are generally axially aligned. A seal 4 seals between the second end and the housing and means for limiting the movement of the second end (A/B) having a first and second stop.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10-12, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorman, Jr. US 5,052,721 in view of Aitken et al. US 5,024,469.
- 5. Gorman lacks a first enlarged part of the housing with the second end of the extendable member located in this enlarged part and having a greater diameter that the first portion of the extendable member. Aitken discloses a first enlarged part of the housing with the second end of the extendable member located in this enlarged part. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the enlarged part and second end of the extendable member reversed as disclosed by Aitken, since it has been held that a mere

Art Unit: 3754

reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Gazda*, 104 USPQ 104 (CCPA 1955).

6. Claims 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gorman, Jr. US 5,052,721.

Gorman lacks a mention of more than one extendable member on the valve. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use as many extendable members as needed, including on the opposite side of the valve, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8 (CA 7 1977).

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed June 25, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Regarding applicant's argument that the coupling 10 is not permanently coupled, it is permanently coupled if it is never removed.
- 9. Regarding applicant's argument that the extension end is not located in the housing, the housing is seen to extend to where the extension end is.
- 10. Regarding applicant's argument that a water meter does not have a valve, see col. 1, lines 32-44. Gorman discloses that a valve is used in a water meter.

Art Unit: 3754

Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (703) 305-0058. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (703) 308-2696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John Bastianelli

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

jВ

September 22, 2004